II. REMARKS

In the Communication issued December 1, 2004, the Office remarked that Applicants' response filed on November 22, 2004 was non-compliant because it failed to meet the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.12, as amended on June 30, 2003. In particular, the Office object to claim 4 was canceled and therefore need not be shown with the text of the claim stricken-through. A complete listing of the correctly amended claims is submitted in reply to the Communication.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

III. CONCLUSION

If a telephone interview would advance prosecution of the above-identified application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney at the number provided below. Additionally, if the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, Applicants petition for any required relief including extensions of time and authorize the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 2518**, referencing No. 7008412001. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Dec. 8, 2004

Antoinette F. Konski

Reg. No. 34,202

Bingham McCutchen LLP
Three Embarcadero Center, Suite 1800
San Francisco, California 94111

Telephone: (650) 849-4950 Telefax: (650) 849-4800

Page 6

U.S. Scrial No.: 10/051,320 Atty Dkt.: NB 2019-00